Co-design of novel contract models for innovative agri-environmental-climate measures and for valorisation of environmental public goods

contracts2.0



Lessons learnt from the analysis of innovative contract design examples

What types of contracts for integrating biodiversity and ecosystem services into agricultural management exist, which features are most widespread and what innovative combinations of features are promising? Our actorbased typology distinguishes Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES), land tenure contracts, and value chain contracts involving farmers, food processors, retailers, and consumers. Altogether, 62 contracts were analysed based on an extensive set of design and governance characteristics.

Most innovative approaches are found in value chain contracts due to a stronger bottom-up approach, e.g. more tailor-made contracts between (single) producers and processors instead of the generally applicable public programs. However, the measures are mostly action-based. PES contracts funded with public money are innovative with respect to result-based payments rewarding the environmental performances of farmers and ranting them more flexibility and autonomy.

In addition to the pure contract types, hybrids are frequent. They combine characteristics from across the pure contract types and show a better tailoring to context.

Soft factors play an important role in contract implementation. A shared vision of all actors, mutual trust and acceptance as well as shared responsibilities are found to be necessary conditions. The pro-active role of farmers and the joint design of measures, together with the funding body and/or their advisory agencies, is important. The involvement of actors at all levels of program and measure development might help to overcome the lacking acceptance of the current AECM programs.

Keywords

Contract design features, contract governance, public goods, AECM, PES, land tenure approaches, value chain approaches

Author(s)

Birte Bredemeier (Leibniz University Hannover)

Sylvia Herrmann (Leibniz University Hannover)

Contacts

bredemeier@umwelt.uni-hannover.de

Country/Region

Belgium, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Peru, Poland, Romania, Spain Sweden, The Netherlands, United Kingdom, USA

All Practice Abstracts prepared by the contracts2.0 project can be found here: <u>https://www.project-contracts20.eu/in-brief/</u>& <u>https://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/en/find-connect/projects/contracts20-co-design-von-innovativen</u>

Co-design of novel contract models for innovative agri-environmental-climate measures and for valorisation of environmental public goods

MMARY AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- Value chain contracts are most innovative:
 - More tailor-made contracts
 - Stronger bottom-up approach.
- PES contracts funded with public money are innovative due to result-based payments.



The pro-active role of farmers and the joint design of measures is important \triangleright for success.

For a more detailed description of the study and the results please read our corresponding paper: Bredemeier et al. (2022): Insights into innovative contract design to improve the integration of biodiversity and ecosystem services in agricultural management. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoser.2022.101430

Icons: www.flaticon.com created by Freepik, Flat Icons, Smashicons

Contracts2.0 aims to develop innovative contract-based solutions, which increase





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement no. 818190.

All Practice Abstracts prepared by the contracts2.0 project can be found here: https://www.project-contracts20.eu/in-brief/ & https://ec.europa.eu/eip/agriculture/en/find-connect/projects/contracts20-co-design-von-innovativen







July 2022

contact@project-contracts20.eu